

A Fixed Point Theorem and its Application in Dynamic Programming

Zeqing Liu

*Department of Mathematics, Liaoning Normal University,
P. O. Box 200, Dalian, Liaoning 116029, People's Republic of China
E-mail: zeqingliu@dl.cn*

Zhenyu Guo

*Department of Mathematics, Liaoning Normal University,
P. O. Box 200, Dalian, Liaoning 116029, People's Republic of China
E-mail: zhenyu-guo@163.com*

Shin Min Kang*

*Department of Mathematics and Research Institute of Natural Science,
Gyeongsang National University, Jinju 660-701, Korea
E-mail: smkang@nongae.gsnu.ac.kr
Corresponding author

Soo Hak Shim

*Research Institute of Natural Science, Gyeongsang National University,
Jinju 660-701, Korea
E-mail: math@nongae.gsnu.ac.kr*

Abstract

A fixed point theorem for a new contractive type mapping involving a countable family of pseudometrics is established. As its application, the existence, uniqueness and iterative approximation of solution for a functional equation arising in dynamic programming of multistage decision processes are given.

AMS subject classification: 19L20, 49L99, 90C39.

Keywords and Phrases: Fixed point, iterative approximation, solution, functional equation, dynamic programming.

1. Introduction and Preliminaries

Throughout this paper, we assume that \mathbb{N} denotes the set of all positive integers and

$$\begin{aligned} \omega &= \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}, \quad \mathbb{R} = (-\infty, +\infty), \quad \mathbb{R}_+ = [0, +\infty), \\ \Phi &= \{\varphi : \varphi : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+ \text{ is nondecreasing, right continuous and} \\ &\quad \varphi(t) < t \text{ for } t > 0\}. \end{aligned}$$

Let X be a nonempty set and $\{d_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a countable family of pseudometrics on X such that for any distinct $x, y \in X$ there exists some $k \in \mathbb{N}$ with $d_k(x, y) \neq 0$. Define

$$d(x, y) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^k} \cdot \frac{d_k(x, y)}{1 + d_k(x, y)}, \quad \forall x, y \in X.$$

It is easy to see that d is a metric on X . A sequence $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in X$ converges to a point $x \in X$ if and only if $d_k(x_n, x) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a Cauchy sequence if and only if $d_k(x_m, x_n) \rightarrow 0$ as $m, n \rightarrow \infty$ for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $f : (X, d) \rightarrow (X, d)$ be a mapping. For any $A \subseteq X$, $x, y \in X$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$, put

$$\begin{aligned} O_f(x) &= \{f^i x : i \in \omega\}, \quad O_f(x, y) = O_f(x) \cup O_f(y), \\ \delta_k(A) &= \sup\{d_k(a, b) : a, b \in A\}. \end{aligned}$$

X is said to be *f-orbitally complete* if every Cauchy sequence which is contained in $O_f(x)$ for $x \in X$ converges in X .

Several classes of functional equations and systems of functional equations in dynamic programming have been studied by some researchers by using various methods and techniques, for example, see [1–19] and the references therein. Bellman and Lee [3] suggested the basic form of the functional equations of dynamic programming as follows:

$$f(x) = \text{opt}_{y \in D} H(x, y, f(T(x, y))), \quad \forall x \in S, \quad (1.1)$$

where x and y represent the state and decision vectors, respectively, T represents the transformation of the process, and $f(x)$ represents the optimal return function with initial state x . Bellman and Roosta [4] established approximation solutions for a kind of infinite-stage equations arising in dynamic programming. Bhakta and Choudhury [5] investigated the existence and uniqueness of fixed point for the following contractive type mapping:

$$d_k(fx, fy) \leq \varphi(d_k(x, y)), \quad \forall (k, x, y) \in \mathbb{N} \times X \times X, \quad (1.2)$$

where $\varphi \in \Phi$, and using the fixed point theorem, they gave the existence and uniqueness of solution for the functional equation:

$$f(x) = \text{inf}_{y \in D} H(x, y, f(T(x, y))), \quad \forall x \in S. \quad (1.3)$$

Liu [9] considered the following more general contractive type mapping and functional equation, respectively:

$$d_k(fx, fy) \leq \varphi(\delta_k(O_f(x, y))), \quad \forall(k, x, y) \in \mathbb{N} \times X \times X, \quad (1.4)$$

where $\varphi \in \Phi$, and

$$f(x) = \text{opt}_{y \in D} \{u(x, y) + H(x, y, f(T(x, y)))\}, \quad \forall x \in S, \quad (1.5)$$

where opt denotes sup or inf, and established a few sufficient conditions which ensure the existence, uniqueness and iterative approximation of fixed point and solution for (1.4) and (1.5), respectively.

Motivated and inspired by the work in [1–19], in this paper we introduce a class of new and more general contractive type mapping as follows:

There exist $p, q \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\varphi \in \Phi$ satisfying

$$d_k(f^p x, f^q y) \leq \varphi(\delta_k(O_f(x, y))), \quad \forall(k, x, y) \in \mathbb{N} \times X \times X. \quad (1.6)$$

Under certain conditions we establish the existence, uniqueness and iterative approximation of fixed point for the mapping f , which satisfies (1.6). As an application, we provide a sufficient condition which guarantees the existence, uniqueness and iterative approximation of solution for the functional equation (1.5). The results presented in this paper are generalizations of the corresponding results in [4–6, 9].

2. A Fixed Point Theorem

Now we prove the following fixed point theorem.

Theorem 2.1: Let (X, d) be a f -orbitally complete metric space and $f : (X, d) \rightarrow (X, d)$ satisfy (1.6) for some $(p, q, \varphi) \in \{1\} \times \{1, 2\} \times \Phi$. If for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x \in X$, there exists $h(k, x) > 0$ such that $\delta_k(O_f(x)) \leq h(k, x)$, then f has a unique fixed point $w \in X$ and the iterative sequence $\{f^n x\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges to w for each $x \in X$.

Proof. Let $(k, x_0) \in \mathbb{N} \times X$. Put $x_n = f^n x_0$ and $d_{ki} = d_{ki}(x_0) = \delta_k(O_f(x_i))$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $i \in \omega$. Since $\{d_{ki}\}_{i \in \omega}$ is nonincreasing and bounded below by 0, it follows that $\{d_{ki}\}_{i \in \omega}$ converges to $r \geq 0$. We now assert that $r = 0$. Otherwise $r > 0$. For any given $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $j \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $r \leq d_{ki} < r + \varepsilon$ for all $i \geq j$. It follows from (1.6) that

$$\begin{aligned} d_k(f^{n+q}x_0, f^{m+q}x_0) &= d_k(f f^{n+q-1}x_0, f^q f^m x_0) \\ &\leq \varphi(\delta_k(O_f(f^{n+q-1}x_0, f^m x_0))) \\ &\leq \varphi(\delta_k(O_f(x_{i+j}))) \\ &= \varphi(d_{ki+j}), \quad \forall n, m \geq i + j, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that $d_{ki+j+q} \leq \varphi(d_{ki+j})$ for all $i \geq j$. Letting $i \rightarrow \infty$, by the right continuity of φ , we deduce that $0 < r \leq \varphi(r) < r$, which is a contradiction. Consequently, we have

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} d_{ki}(x_0) = 0, \quad (2.1)$$

which yields that $\{f^n x_0\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a Cauchy sequence. Because (X, d) is f -orbital complete, it follows that $\{f^n x_0\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges to a point $w \in X$. Put

$$c_{kn} = \sup\{d_k(f^m x_0, f^m w) : m \geq n\}, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

It is clear that $\{c_{kn}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is nonincreasing and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} c_{kn} = s \quad \text{for some } s \geq 0. \quad (2.2)$$

Suppose that $s > 0$. (2.1) and (2.2) ensure that for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$s \leq c_{kn} < s + \varepsilon, \quad d_{kn}(x_0) < \varepsilon \quad \text{and} \quad d_{kn}(w) < \varepsilon, \quad \forall n \geq m. \quad (2.3)$$

In view of (1.6) and (2.3), we get that for $i, j \in \omega$,

$$\begin{aligned} d_k(f^{m+i+q} x_0, f^{m+j+q} w) &= d_k(f f^{m+i+q-1} x_0, f^q f^{m+j} w) \\ &\leq \varphi(\delta_k(O_f(f^{m+i+q-1} x_0, f^{m+j} w))) \\ &\leq \varphi(\delta_k(O_f(f^m x_0, f^m w))) \\ &\leq \varphi(d_{km}(x_0) + d_{km}(w) + c_{km}), \end{aligned}$$

which implies that $s \leq c_{km+q} \leq \varphi(3\varepsilon + s)$. That is, $s \leq \varphi(3\varepsilon + s)$. Letting $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, we gain that $0 < s \leq \varphi(s) < s$, which is impossible. Therefore $s = 0$ and (2.2) yields that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d_k(f^n x_0, f^n w) = 0$.

We now prove that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(f^n x_0, f^n w) = 0$. For any given $\varepsilon > 0$, determine $t \in \mathbb{N}$ with $\sum_{k=t+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^k} < \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon$. Since $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left[\sum_{k=1}^t \frac{1}{2^k} \cdot \frac{d_k(f^n x_0, f^n w)}{1+d_k(f^n x_0, f^n w)} \right] = 0$, there exists some $M \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\sum_{k=1}^t \frac{1}{2^k} \cdot \frac{d_k(f^n x_0, f^n w)}{1+d_k(f^n x_0, f^n w)} < \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon$ for $n \geq M$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} d(f^n x_0, f^n w) &= \sum_{k=1}^t \frac{1}{2^k} \cdot \frac{d_k(f^n x_0, f^n w)}{1+d_k(f^n x_0, f^n w)} + \sum_{k=t+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^k} \cdot \frac{d_k(f^n x_0, f^n w)}{1+d_k(f^n x_0, f^n w)} \\ &< \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon + \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon = \varepsilon, \quad \forall n \geq M. \end{aligned}$$

This means that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(f^n x_0, f^n w) = 0$. By virtue of $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f^n x_0 = w$, we see that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f^n w = w$.

Next we prove that

$$d_{k0}(w) = d_{k1}(w) = \cdots = d_{ki}(w), \quad \forall i \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (2.4)$$

Suppose that $d_{k_0}(w) > d_{k_1}(w)$. From

$$\begin{aligned} d_{k_0}(w) &= \max\{\sup\{d_k(f^n w, w) : n \in \mathbb{N}\}, d_{k_1}(w)\} \\ &= \sup\{d_k(f^n w, w) : n \in \mathbb{N}\}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} d_k(w, f^m w) &\leq d_k(w, f^n w) + d_k(f^n w, f^m w) \\ &\leq d_k(w, f^n w) + d_{k_1}(w), \quad \forall n, m \in \mathbb{N} \text{ with } n > m. \end{aligned}$$

Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$, we have

$$d_k(w, f^m w) \leq d_{k_1}(w), \quad \forall m \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (2.6)$$

By virtue of (2.5) and (2.6), we conclude that $d_{k_0}(w) \leq d_{k_1}(w)$, which is a contradiction. Hence $d_{k_0}(w) \leq d_{k_1}(w)$. Since $\{d_{k_i}(w)\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ is nonincreasing, we get that $d_{k_0}(w) = d_{k_1}(w)$. That is, (2.4) holds for $i = 1$. Suppose that (2.4) holds for some $i = j$. If (2.4) does not hold for $i = j + 1$, that is,

$$d_{k_0}(w) = d_{k_1}(w) = \dots = d_{k_j}(w) > d_{k_{j+1}}(w). \quad (2.7)$$

Note that (2.7) means that

$$\begin{aligned} d_{k_j}(w) &= \max\{\sup\{d_k(f^n w, f^j w) : n > j\}, d_{k_{j+1}}(w)\} \\ &= \sup\{d_k(f^n w, f^j w) : n > j\}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.8)$$

In light of (1.6), we derive that

$$\begin{aligned} d_k(f^j w, f^{j+r} w) &\leq \varphi(\delta_k(O_f(f^{j-1} w, f^{j+r-q} w))) \\ &\leq \varphi(d_{k_{j-1}}(w)), \quad \forall r \in \mathbb{N}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.9)$$

From (2.7)–(2.9), we deduce that

$$d_{k_j}(w) \leq \varphi(d_{k_{j-1}}(w)) = \varphi(d_{k_j}(w)),$$

which yields that $d_{k_j}(w) = 0$. It follows from (2.7) that

$$0 > d_{k_{(j+1)}}(w) \geq 0,$$

which is a contradiction. Hence (2.4) holds for $i = j + 1$. Thus (2.4) holds. Clearly, (2.1) and (2.4) ensure that $d_{k_0}(w) = 0$. Therefore,

$$d(w, fw) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^k} \cdot \frac{d_k(w, fw)}{1 + d_k(w, fw)} \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^k} \cdot \frac{d_{k_0}(w)}{1 + d_{k_0}(w)} = 0,$$

which means that $w = fw$.

Finally, we claim that w is the unique fixed point of f . In fact, if $v \in X$ is another fixed point of f different from w . It follows from (1.6) that

$$d_k(w, v) = d_k(f^p w, f^q v) \leq \varphi(\delta_k(O_f(w, v))) = \varphi(d_k(w, v)),$$

which implies that $d_k(w, v) = 0$. This leads to

$$d(w, v) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^k} \cdot \frac{d_k(w, v)}{1 + d_k(w, v)} = 0,$$

that is, $w = v$, which is a contradiction. This completes the proof. \blacksquare

Remark 2.2: Theorem 2.1 extends the corresponding result of Bellman and Roosta [4], Theorem 2.1 of Bhakta and Choudhury [5], Theorem 1.1 of Bhakta and Mitra [6] and Theorem 2.1 of Liu [9].

Remark 2.3: We could only prove Theorem 2.1 for $(p, q) \in \{1\} \times \{1, 2\}$. For further research, in our opinion, it is interesting and important to study the following problems:

Problem 2.1: Does Theorem 2.1 hold for $(p, q) \in \{1\} \times (\mathbb{N} \setminus \{1, 2\})$?

Problem 2.2: Does Theorem 2.1 hold for $(p, q) \in \{2\} \times (\mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\})$?

Problem 2.3: Does Theorem 2.1 hold for $(p, q) \in (\mathbb{N} \setminus \{1, 2\}) \times (\mathbb{N} \setminus \{1, 2\})$?

3. Existence and Uniqueness of Solution

Throughout this section, let $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ and $(Y, \|\cdot\|)$ be real Banach spaces, $S \subset X$ be the state space, and $D \subset Y$ be the decision space. $BB(S)$ denotes the set of all real-value mappings on S which are bounded on bounded subsets of S . It is easy to see that $BB(S)$ is a linear space over \mathbb{R} under usual definitions of addition and multiplication by scalars. For any $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $a, b \in BB(S)$, let

$$d_k(a, b) = \sup\{\|a(x) - b(x)\| : x \in \overline{B}(0, k)\},$$

$$d(a, b) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^k} \cdot \frac{d_k(a, b)}{1 + d_k(a, b)},$$

where $\overline{B}(0, k) = \{x : x \in S \text{ and } \|x\| \leq k\}$. Clearly, $\{d_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a countable family of pseudometrics on $BB(S)$ and $(BB(S), d)$ is a complete metric space. Let $H : D \times D \times BB(S) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $T : S \times D \rightarrow S$ and $u : S \times D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.

Theorem 3.1: Assume that the following conditions hold.

(a) For any $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $a \in BB(S)$, there exists $h(k, a) > 0$ such that

$$\max\{|u(x, y)| + |H(x, y, a(T(x, y)))|, \delta_k(O_f(a))\} \leq h(k, a), \quad \forall (x, y) \in \overline{B}(0, k) \times D,$$

where the mapping f is defined as

$$fa(x) = \text{opt}_{y \in D} \{u(x, y) + H(x, y, a(T(x, y)))\}, \quad \forall (x, a) \in S \times BB(S); \quad (3.1)$$

(b) There exist $\varphi \in \Phi_1$ and $q \in \{1, 2\}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} & |H(x, y, a(t)) - H(x, y, f^{q-1}b(t))| \\ & \leq \varphi(\delta_k(O_f(a, b))), \quad \forall (k, x, y, t) \in \mathbb{N} \times \overline{B}(0, k) \times D \times S. \end{aligned}$$

Then the functional equation (1.5) possesses a unique solution $w \in BB(S)$ and $\{f^n a\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges to w for each $a \in BB(S)$.

Proof. Let k be in \mathbb{N} and a be in $BB(S)$. Using (a), we know that

$$|u(x, y)| + |H(x, y, a(T(x, y)))| \leq h(k, a), \quad \forall (x, y) \in \overline{B}(0, k) \times D.$$

(3.1) ensures that f maps $BB(S)$ into itself. For any $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $a, b \in BB(S)$ and $x \in S$, we have to consider the following possible cases:

Case 1. Suppose that $\text{opt} = \text{inf}$. For any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exist $y, z \in D$ such that

$$fa(x) > u(x, y) + H(x, y, a(T(x, y))) - \varepsilon \quad (3.2)$$

and

$$f^q b(x) > u(x, z) + H(x, z, f^{q-1}b(T(x, z))) - \varepsilon. \quad (3.3)$$

Clearly,

$$fa(x) \leq u(x, z) + H(x, z, a(T(x, z))) \quad (3.4)$$

and

$$f^q b(x) \leq u(x, y) + H(x, y, f^{q-1}b(T(x, y))). \quad (3.5)$$

It follows from (3.3), (3.4) and (b) that

$$\begin{aligned} fa(x) - f^q b(x) & < H(x, z, a(T(x, z))) - H(x, z, f^{q-1}b(T(x, z))) + \varepsilon \\ & \leq |H(x, z, a(T(x, z))) - H(x, z, f^{q-1}b(T(x, z)))| + \varepsilon \\ & \leq \varphi(\delta_k(O_f(a, b))) + \varepsilon. \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

By virtue of (3.2), (3.5) and (b), we infer that

$$\begin{aligned} fa(x) - f^q b(x) & > H(x, y, a(T(x, y))) - H(x, y, f^{q-1}b(T(x, y))) - \varepsilon \\ & \geq -|H(x, y, a(T(x, y))) - H(x, y, f^{q-1}b(T(x, y)))| - \varepsilon \\ & \geq -\varphi(\delta_k(O_f(a, b))) - \varepsilon. \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

It follows from (3.6) and (3.7) that

$$|fa(x) - f^q b(x)| \leq \varphi(\delta_k(O_f(a, b))) + \varepsilon,$$

which implies that

$$d_k(fa, fb) \leq \varphi(\delta_k(O_f(a, b))) + \varepsilon.$$

Letting $\varepsilon \rightarrow \infty$, we derive that

$$d_k(fa, f^q b) \leq \varphi(\delta_k(O_f(a, b))). \quad (3.8)$$

Case 2. Suppose that $opt = \sup$. As in the proof of Case 1, we infer that (3.8) holds also. Therefore Theorem 2.1 guarantees that f has a unique fixed point $w \in BB(S)$ and $\{f^n a\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges to w for each $a \in BB(S)$. That is, $w(x)$ is a unique solution of the functional equation (1.5). Thus the proof is completed. ■

Remark 3.2: Theorem 3.1 extends Theorem 3.1 of Bhakta and Choudury [5], Theorem 2.1 and Corollary 2.1 of Bhakta and Mitra [6] and Theorem 3.1 of Liu [9].

Acknowledgement

This work was supported by the Science Research Foundation of Educational Department of Liaoning Province (2006) and the Research Institute of Natural Science Grant, Gyeongsang National University, 2005.

References

- [1] R. Bellman, *Dynamic Programming*, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, NJ, 1957.
- [2] R. Bellman, *Methods of Non-linear Analysis*, Vol. II, Academic Press, New York, 1973.
- [3] R. Bellman and E. S. Lee, Functional equations arising in dynamic programming, *Aequationes Math.*, **17**, pp. 1–18, 1978.
- [4] R. Bellman and M. Roosta, A technique for the reduction of dimensionality in dynamic programming, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **88**, pp. 543–546, 1982.
- [5] P. C. Bhakta and S. R. Choudhury, Some existence theorems for functional equations arising in dynamic programming, II, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **131**, pp. 217–231, 1988.
- [6] P. C. Bhakta and S. Mitra, Some existence theorems for functional equations arising in dynamic programming, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **98**, pp. 348–362, 1984.
- [7] S. S. Chang and Y. H. Ma, Coupled fixed points for mixed monotone condensing operators and an existence theorem of the solutions for a class of functional equations arising in dynamic programming, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **106**, pp. 468–479, 1991.
- [8] N. J. Huang, B. Soo Lee and M. K. Kang, Fixed point theorems for compatible mappings with applications to the the solutions of functional equations arising in dynamic programming, *Internat. J. Math. & Math. Sci.*, **20**, pp. 673–680, 1997.

- [9] Z. Liu, Existence theorems of solutions for certain classes of functional equations arising in dynamic programming, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **262**, pp. 529–553, 2001.
- [10] Z. Liu, Coincidence theorems for expansion mappings with applications to the solutions of functional equations arising in dynamic programming, *Acta. Sci. Math.* (Szeged), **65**, pp. 359–369, 1999.
- [11] Z. Liu, Compatible mappings and fixed points, *Acta. Sci. Math.*, **65**, pp. 371–383, 1999.
- [12] Z. Liu and J. S. Ume, On properties of solutions for a class of functional equations arising in dynamic programming, *J. Optim. Theory Appl.*, **117**(3), pp. 533–551, 2003.
- [13] Z. Liu, R. P. Agarwal and S. M. Kang, On solvability of functional equations and system of functional equations arising in dynamic programming, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **297**, pp. 111–130, 2004.
- [14] Z. Liu and S. M. Kang, Properties of solutions for certain functional equations arising in dynamic programming, *J. Global Optim.*, **34**, pp. 273–292, 2006.
- [15] Z. Liu, J. S. Ume and S. M. Kang, Some existence theorems for functional equations arising in dynamic programming, *J. Korean Math. Soc.*, **43**(1), pp. 11–28, 2006.
- [16] H. K. Pathak and B. Fisher, Common fixed point theorems with applications in dynamic programming, *Glasnik Matematički*, **31**(51), pp. 321–328, 1996.
- [17] C. L. Wang, The principle and models of dynamic programming, II, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **135**, pp. 268–283, 1988.
- [18] C. L. Wang, The principle and models of dynamic programming, III, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **135**, pp. 284–296, 1988.
- [19] C. L. Wang, The principle and models of dynamic programming, V, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **137**, pp. 161–167, 1989.